

Attorney Docket No.: LUKP:123US  
U.S. Patent Application No. 10/711,823  
Reply to Office Action of November 27, 2007  
Dated: January 23, 2008

**Remarks**

**Rejection of Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 9 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,911,031 (Yoshimura et al.), in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,082,215 (Jerwick).

In the Office Action of November 27, 2007, the Examiner stated that Claim 5, 7, and 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants have amended Claims 7 and 8 to each recite the limitations of Claim 1 and have amended Claim 1 to recite the limitations of Claim 5. Therefore, Claims 5, 7, and 8 are allowable. Claims 3, 4, 6, and 9, dependent from Claim 1, also are allowable.

Therefore, the rejection is moot and Applicants courteously request that the rejection be removed.

Attorney Docket No.: LUKP:123US  
U.S. Patent Application No. 10/711,823  
Reply to Office Action of November 27, 2007  
Dated: January 23, 2008

**Conclusion**

Applicants respectfully submit that the application is now in condition for allowance, which action is courteously requested. The Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact the undersigned if such contact will facilitate an efficient examination and allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

/C. Paul Maliszewski/

C. Paul Maliszewski  
Registration No. 51,990  
Simpson & Simpson PLLC  
5555 Main Street  
Williamsville, NY 14221  
Phone: (716) 626-1564  
Fax: (716) 626-0366

Dated: January 23, 2008  
CPM/